

George Blanchard

From: Stanton Drew PC <chair@stantondrewpc.co.uk>
Sent: 23 February 2020 13:52
To: checplanningltd@gmail.com
Cc: George Blanchard; Stanton Drew Clerk
Subject: Re: Consultation Statement Stanton Drew Neighbourhood Development Plan

We would like to thank the examiner for the very clear statement on how she proposes to undertake the examination and for the useful NPIERS Guidance document.

We have arranged that her emails are circulated to the Parish Councillors and the NDP Steering Group.

We will, this week, put a link on our Parish Council website to the appropriate BaNES webpage (there is already a link to it from the SDNDP website) as an extra means for Parishioners to keep abreast of the communications.

In answer to the specific matters for clarification:

1 - The red line refers to the red line in Figure 1. “ Neighbourhood Plan Designated Area outlined in red”.

2 - The document was updated in 2017 to include a) English heritage Listed properties at the suggestion of Banes officers, b) Assets suggested from Parishioners at drop-in consultations that were felt to meet the same criteria as those added originally in the 2014 document (but had been overlooked). The 2017 document was thereafter included in all subsequent consultations. A BaNES Placemaking Plan training session provided guidance on how to ‘assess’ potential heritage assets.

Also, thank you for the opportunity to respond to the Regulation 16 representations. We are very encouraged by the responses from the statutory consultees. There are 5 comments that we would like to respond to. We note the comment regarding the maps (orientation and resolution) this has been an ongoing issue and we are happy to address this when we next edit (hopefully for the referendum draft). The 3 responses from Mr Waller are all issues that have been previously raised, there has already been a response to these issues and it can be seen in the Consultation Statement, we have no further comment to make on these points. We think the responses from Planning Officers is very useful and we believe the best route forward on these issues is for the examiner to determine as she thinks appropriate.

Finally, we confirm that we agree that there is a need to repeat the Reg 16 consultation with a revised Consultation statement and we are happy for the examination to start while this is carried out. We will endeavour to get the revised Consultation Statement to BaNES as soon as possible.

Best Regards

Liz

Liz Richardson - Chairman of Stanton Drew Parish Council

On 21 Feb 2020, at 14:19, <cheeplanningltd@gmail.com> <cheeplanningltd@gmail.com> wrote:

Regulation 15 (2) in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 states the requirements for the Consultation Statement submitted by the qualifying body. This is as follows:

15 (2) In this regulation “consultation statement” means a document which—

(a) contains details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;

(b) explains how they were consulted;

(c) summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and

(d) describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.

The Consultation Document submitted with the Stanton Drew Neighbourhood Development Plan does not include the necessary information regarding consultation on the pre-submission draft of the Plan as required under regulation 15 (2) a and b.

I would like to give the Parish Council the opportunity to submit a revised Consultation Statement that complies with Regulation 15 (2).

A revised Consultation Statement will need to be published for a 6 week consultation period. I suggest that alongside the document there is publicised an explanation of the fact that the original Consultation Statement did not meet the Regulations. This does not open up opportunity to make further representations on the Plan. Only the Consultation Statement will be subject to consultation. In these circumstances, I am happy to continue the examination, as far as I can, and will deal with any responses to the consultation statement at the end of that consultation period. In that way, I can have made substantial progress with the examination during the next 6 weeks. I do not consider that anyone would be prejudiced by this approach.

Please can the Parish Council confirm as soon as possible whether it wishes to submit a revised Consultation Statement.

Please can this email be placed on the Bath & NE Somerset Council’s website.

Kind Regards

Janet Cheesley